

<p style="text-align: center;">Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</p>	<p>Application No. 10/823,098</p>	<p>Applicant(s) LIU ET AL</p>
	<p>Examiner Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric</p>	<p>Art Unit 3744</p>

All Participants:

(1) Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric.

(2) Stephen B. Ackerman, Reg. No. 37,761.

Date of Interview: 5 March 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
 n/a

Claims discussed:
 1-6, 25-29

Prior art documents discussed:
 n/a

Status of Application: 71

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: appx. 2:00 p.m.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner Ciric telephoned applicant's attorney to propose changing the term "when" in line 2 of claim 1 to "wherein" via an examiner's amendment in order to clarify claim 1 and claims 2 through 6 depending therefrom. Attorney Ackerman approved the proposed examiner's amendment (copy attached), thereby placing the application in condition for allowance

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ljiljana (Lil) V. Ciric/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)